ACTIVE	
CATEGORY:	
BACT Size	Minor Sou

CATEGORY	(:	FLEXOGRAPH	IC PRESS NON-HEATSE	Т
BACT Size:	Minor Source		-	PRINTING PRESS
BACT Determination Number: 149		BACT Determination Date:	12/22/2017	
		Equipment	Information	
Permit Number:24803Equipment Description:PRINTING PRESSUnit Size/Rating/Capacity:< 8,683 LBS/YEAR UNEquipment Location:OFFICE OF STATE PU4225 PELL DRSACRAMENTO, CA				
		· · · · · ·	ation Information	
ROCs	Standard:	See Comments		
	Technology Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
NOx	Standard:	No Standard		
	Technology Description:			
	Basis:			
SOx	Standard: Technology Description: Basis:	No Standard		
PM10	Standard: Technology Description: Basis:	No Standard		
PM2.5	Standard: Technology Description: Basis:	No Standard		
со	Standard: Technology Description: Basis:	No Standard		
LEAD	Standard: Technology Description: Basis:	No Standard		
	with a VOC content not exceeding 1.1 ll (less water and exe VOC BACT and HA	(less water and exempt compou b/gal (less water and exempt com mpt compounds) not exceeding (P emission limits of Section 63.8		ink with a VOC content ves with a VOC content BACT: Compliance with
District C	Contact: Felix	Frujillo Phone No.: (910	6)874-7357 email: jquok@airqu	ality.org

ACTIVE

CATEGORY	/:	FLEXOGRAPHI	C PRESS NON-HEATSE	r		
BACT Size:				PRINTING PRESS		
BACT Determination Number: 176			BACT Determination Date:	12/22/2017		
		Equipment	Information			
Unit Size/Rating/Capacity:≥ 8,683 LBEquipment Location:PACKAGE4225 PELL		PRINTING PRESS ≥ 8,683 LBS/YEAR UNG PACKAGE ONE 4225 PELL DR SACRAMENTO, CA	CONTROLLED VOC			
		BACT Determina	tion Information			
ROCs	Standard:	See comments				
	Technology Description:					
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice				
NOx	Standard: Technology Description:	No Standard				
	Basis: Standard:	No Standard				
SOx	Technology Description:					
PM10	Basis: Standard:	No Standard				
	Technology Description: Basis:					
PM2.5	Standard:	No Standard				
	Technology Description:					
со	Basis: Standard:	No Standard				
	Technology Description:					
LEAD	Basis: Standard:	No Standard				
	Technology Description: Basis:					
Comments	BACT: Use of mate with a VOC content not exceeding 1.1 lk (less water and exe	(less water and exempt compoun b/gal (less water and exempt comp mpt compounds) not exceeding 0.	450 - Graphic Arts) compliant with SMAQMD ds) of 0.3 lb/gal for low end graphics, use of ir bounds) for high-end graphics, use of adhesive .044 lb/gal, no VOC clean-up solvents and a V uction) of at least 98.5% for VOC. TBACT: Co	hk with a VOC content es with a VOC content OC control device that		
District C	District Contact: Felix Trujillo Phone No.: (916) 874 - 7357 email: ftrujillo@airquality.org					



BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY DETERMINATION

	DETERMINATION NO.:	149 & 176
	DATE:	12/22/17
	ENGINEER:	Felix Trujillo, Jr.
Category/General Equip Description:	Printing Process	
Equipment Specific Description:	Printing Press/Box Finishing - Non-Heatset	Flexographic – and
Equipment Size/Rating:	<8,683 lbs VOC/year (BACT #1 ≥ 8,683 lbs VOC/year (BACT #	
Previous BACT Det. No.:	None	

A review of the District's permit database showed the District's only flexographic printing presses are for box finishing corrugated packaging operations. Therefore, this BACT determination will only apply to box finishing operations. The San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District's graphic arts rule (Rule 4607) includes a category for flexographic specialty inks with VOC content limits that are higher than for other flexographic inks. Sacramento Air Quality Management District's Rule 450 (Graphic Arts Operations) does not include a category for flexographic specialty inks. Therefore, SMAQMD Rule 450 is more stringent for these inks. The SMAQMD rule does not include any heat set flexographic printing operations. Therefore, this BACT will not address heat set flexographic printing operations.

This BACT will apply to an individual press and will assume it is enclosed in a room that will not require the use of a hood or the construction of a permanent total enclosure (PTE). This will ensure this BACT covers all scenarios. Therefore, only the cost of the carbon adsorption system will be evaluated. This is a conservative estimate since the addition of hoods and PTE would add to the cost of the control system.

BACT ANALYSIS

A: ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE (Rule 202, §205.1a)

The following control technologies are currently employed as BACT for flexographic printing presses that are non-heatset by the following air pollution control districts:

District/Agency			
	BACT Source: EPA RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse		
	VOC N/A – No BACT determinations found for flexographic printing		
	NOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found for flexographic printing	
	SOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found for flexographic printing	
	PM10	N/A – No BACT determinations found for flexographic printing	
	PM2.5	N/A – No BACT determinations found for flexographic printing	
	со	N/A – No BACT determinations found for flexographic printing	
US EPA	40 CFR Publishir This regrand pactor operated defined i major so BACT ev Subpart rotograve greater t §63.8250 printing a percent of of the more reducers than 20 p	EQUIREMENTS: 63 Subpart KK – National Emission Standards for the Printing and ng Industry ulation applies to facilities at which publication rotogravure, product kaging rotogravure, or wide-web flexographic printing presses are d and that are located at a plant site that is a major source of HAPs as n 40 CFR 63 Subpart A, §63.2. Although this NESHAP applies only to burces of HAPs, it will be considered achieved in practice in the T- valuation for minor sources. KK limits organic HAP emissions of product and packaging ure or wide-web flexographic printing (capable of printing substrates han 18 inches in width) to the following: (b) Each product and packaging rotogravure or wide-web flexographic affected source shall limit organic HAP emissions to no more than 5 of the organic HAP applied for the month; or to no more than 4 percent mass of inks, coatings, varnishes, adhesives, primers, solvents, s, thinners, and other materials applied for the month; or to a calculated nt allowable mass based on the organic HAP and solids contents of	

BACT Determination Printing Press/Box Finishing Non-Heatset Flexographic December 22, 2017 Page 3 of 18

District/Agency	y Best Ava	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	BACT			
	Source:	ARB BACT Clearinghouse		
		BACT determinations published in the ARB BACT Clearinghouse are 10 years old.		
		ARB BACT Clearinghouse*		
	voc	Water based inks with VOC content not to exceed 1.5 lb/gal and use of clean up solvent containing no VOCs.		
	NOx	No standard		
ARB	SOx	No standard		
	PM10	No standard		
	PM2.5	No standard		
	со	No standard		
	T-BACT There a category	re no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this		
ARB	<u>RULE R</u> None	RULE REQUIREMENTS:		
	BACT			
	Flexog	aphic printing press		
	voc	No standard		
SMAQMD	NOx	No standard		
		No standard		
	SOx			
	SOx PM10	No standard		

BACT Determination Printing Press/Box Finishing Non-Heatset Flexographic December 22, 2017 Page 4 of 18

District/Agency	Best Available Control T	echnology (BACT)/Requirements
	T-BACT There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category. <u>RULE REQUIREMENTS</u> : <u>Rule 450 – Graphic Arts Operations (10/23/2008)</u>		
	MATERIAL TYPE	VOC CONTENT LIMITS g/l (lb/gal) Less water and exempt compounds	
	Printing Ink	300 (2.5)	
	Adhesive	150 (1.25)	
	Coating	300 (2.5)	
	VOC Content for Sol	vent Cleaning N	Naterials:
	MATERIAL TYPE		VOC Content Limits g/l (lb/gal) Including Water and Exempt Compounds
SMAQMD	General (e.g., maintenance, repair, solvent, wipe) Cleaning		25 (0.21)
	Application Equipment Cleaning		
	General (not specifically listed below)		25 (0.21)
	Flexographic Printing		25 (0.21)
	Specialty Flexographic	Printing	100 (0.83)
	Control Devices Con Control Devices for fle efficiency of 67%.		g Presses must have an overall system

BACT Determination Printing Press/Box Finishing Non-Heatset Flexographic December 22, 2017 Page 5 of 18

	ВАСТ	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
		SCAQMD BACT Guidelines for	Non-Major Polluting Facilities, page	
	Printing	g (Graphic Arts) - Flexographic		
	voc	Inks with ≤ 1.5 lbs VOC/gal, less w compliance with AQMD rules 1130	vater and exempt compounds; and) and 1171 (7-14-2006)	
	NOx	No standard		
	SOx	No standard		
outh Coast QMD	PM10	No standard		
	PM2.5	No standard		
	со	No standard		
		Rule 1130 Graphic Arts (5/2/2	2014)	
		Graphic Art Material	2014) VOC CONTENT LIMITS ⁻ g/l Less water and exempt compounds	
	Adhesiv	Graphic Art Material	VOC CONTENT LIMITS g/l Less water and exempt	
		Graphic Art Material	VOC CONTENT LIMITS g/l Less water and exempt compounds	
	Adhesiv	Graphic Art Material	VOC CONTENT LIMITS g/l Less water and exempt compounds 150	
	Adhesiv Coating Flexogra	Graphic Art Material	VOC CONTENT LIMITS g/l Less water and exempt compounds 150 300	
	Adhesiv Coating Flexogra	Graphic Art Material re aphic Fluorescent Ink	VOC CONTENT LIMITS g/l Less water and exempt compounds 150 300 300	

BACT Determination Printing Press/Box Finishing Non-Heatset Flexographic December 22, 2017 Page 6 of 18

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	Reg XI, Rule 1171 – Solvent Cleaning Operations (5/1/2009)			
	Solvent Cleaning Activity VOC Limits g/l (lb/gal)			
	Cleanin	g of Coatings or Adhesives Application Equipment	25 (0.21)	
	Cleanin	g of Ink Application Equipment		
	Ge	neral	25 (0.21)	
	Fle	xographic Printing	25 (0.21))	
	Sp	ecialty Flexographic Printing	100 (0.83)	
		NSR Requirements for BACT, page 3-14.		
	VOC	 Use of low VOC fountain solution (< 6% VOC by version 2. Capture & recycle blanket and roller tray wash, Use of cleanup solvent which has either less that vapor pressure of less than 5 mm HG at 20°C, Use of metering roll cleanup solvent which has eith VOC/I or vapor pressure less than 10 mm HG at 2°. Use of inks which have a VOC content of less than 	n 200 g VOC/l or ner less than 100 g 0 ^o C, and	
	NOx	No standard		
	SOx	No standard		
San Diego	PM10	No standard		
County APCD	PM2.5	No standard		
	со	No standard		
	category	re no T-BACT standards published in the clea	U C	
		phic arts materials, except adhesives, must contain		
		esives containing not more than 150 grams of V I), as applied, less water and less exempt compo		

BACT Determination Printing Press/Box Finishing Non-Heatset Flexographic December 22, 2017 Page 7 of 18

	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	 c) Cleaning material must have a VOC content less than 100 g/l or the tota VOC vapor pressure of the cleaning material is 5mm of Hg at 20°C or less d) Control devices must have a capture and control efficiency of 85% b weight. 			
	BACT Source: <u>BAAQMD BACT Guidelines, Document #110.2.1, Rev. 4, 8/24/</u> Flexographic Printing Line			
	voc	Water reducible into with either $< 1.5 \text{ lb } VOC/red eacting at 10% by$		
	NOx	No standard		
	SOx	No standard		
	PM10	0 No standard		
	PM2.5	No standard		
	со	CO No standard		
	T-BACT			
Bay Area	RULE R	deline also lists these standar EQUIREMENTS: Rule 20 – Graphic Arts Printin		
Bay Area AQMD	This guid <u>RULE R</u> <u>Reg 8, F</u>	deline also lists these standar <u>EQUIREMENTS</u> : <u>Rule 20 – Graphic Arts Printin 008)</u>	Product Limit grams VOC per liter of product as applied, less water and exempt solvent (lbs/gal)	
	This guid RULE R Reg 8, F (11/19/2 Produc	deline also lists these standar <u>EQUIREMENTS</u> : <u>Rule 20 – Graphic Arts Printin 008)</u>	Product Limit grams VOC per liter of product as applied, less water and exempt solvent (Ibs/gal) Less than:	
	This guid RULE R Reg 8, F (11/19/2 Produc	deline also lists these standar EQUIREMENTS: Rule 20 – Graphic Arts Printin 008)	Product Limit grams VOC per liter of product as applied, less water and exempt solvent (Ibs/gal) Less than: 300 (2.5)	
	This guid <u>RULE R</u> <u>Reg 8, F</u> (11/19/2 Production Ink Flexogram	deline also lists these standar <u>EQUIREMENTS</u> : <u>Rule 20 – Graphic Arts Printin</u> 008) t	Product Limit grams VOC per liter of product as applied, less water and exempt solvent (Ibs/gal) Less than: 300 (2.5) 225 (1.9)	
	This guid <u>RULE R</u> <u>Reg 8, F</u> (11/19/2 Produc Ink Flexogra Flexogra	deline also lists these standar EQUIREMENTS: Rule 20 – Graphic Arts Printin 008)	Product Limit grams VOC per liter of product as applied, less water and exempt solvent (Ibs/gal) Less than: 300 (2.5) 225 (1.9) 300 (2.5)	
	This guid <u>RULE R</u> <u>Reg 8, F</u> (11/19/2 Production Ink Flexogram	deline also lists these standar <u>EQUIREMENTS</u> : <u>Rule 20 – Graphic Arts Printin</u> 008) t aphic Ink Porous Substrate aphic Ink Non-Porous Substrate	Product Limit grams VOC per liter of product as applied, less water and exempt solvent (Ibs/gal) Less than: 300 (2.5) 225 (1.9)	

BACT Determination Printing Press/Box Finishing Non-Heatset Flexographic December 22, 2017 Page 8 of 18

Mili i	Web Splicing Adhesive Cleaning Product Limits:		300 (2.5)
	Equipment		VOC g/l (lb/gal) including water
	For Press Equipment, except Other Press Parts		
	Adhesive Application Equipment		25 (0.21)
	Ultra	violet Ink Removal, Any Press Type	100 (0.83)
	Other F	Press Parts	25 (0.21)
	mass ba	on control systems must have an overa asis.	
		SJVUAPCD BACT Guideline 4.7.4 (9/22/0	<u>)6)</u>
	Flexog	raphic Printing – Corrugated Boxes, High-E	nd Graphics
	VOC	Use of inks with a VOC content not exceedin exempt compounds) for high-end graphics a content not exceeding 2.5 lb/gal (less water & metallic inks.	nd use of inks with a VOC
	NOx	No standard	
	SOx	No standard	
San Joaquin	PM10	No standard	
Valley APCD	PM2.5	No standard	
	со	No standard	
	The SV.	No standard JAPCD defines high-end graphics as print wing: a glossy finish, multiple colors, highly n letter-quality printing.	

BACT Determination Printing Press/Box Finishing Non-Heatset Flexographic December 22, 2017 Page 9 of 18

District/Agency	ncy Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	Source:	SJVUAPCD BACT Guideline 4.7.15 (9/22/06)	
	Flexog	raphic Printing – Corrugated Boxes, Low-End Graphics	
	voc	Use of coating with a VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) as indicated, or lower: 0.3 lb/gal and evaporative minimization methods, which include keeping all solvents and solvent-laden cloths/papers, not in active use, in closed containers.	
	NOx	No standard	
	SOx	No standard	
	PM10	No standard	
	PM2.5	No standard	
	со	No standard	
	consider Source:	VAPCD considers low-end graphics as graphics that are not red high-end graphics. <u>SJVUAPCD BACT Guideline 4,9.12 (9/22/06)</u> ated Box Gluer	
	VOC	Use of adhesives with a VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) not exceeding 0.044 lb/gal.	
San Joaquin Valley APCD	NOx	No standard	
	SOx	No standard	
	PM10	No standard	
	PM2.5	No standard	
	со	No standard	

BACT Determination Printing Press/Box Finishing Non-Heatset Flexographic December 22, 2017 Page 10 of 18

		ncy Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements	
	<u>RULE REQUIREMENTS</u> : <u>Rule 4607 – Graphic Arts and Paper, Film, Foil and Fabric Coatings</u> (12/18/2008)		
	VOC content limits for inks, coa	tings, and a	dhesives
	Material	Gra	ams of VOC per liter (lb/gal), less water and exempt compounds, as applied
	Flexographic Ink on Porous Substra	tes	225 (1.88)
	Inks		300 (2.5)
	Coatings		300 (2.5)
	Adhesives		150 (1.25)
	VOC content limits for flexograp	bio coocial	he ink
	Material	Grams of	VOC per liter (lb/gal), less d exempt compounds, as applied
n Joaquin lley APCD	Metallic Ink		460 (3.8)
	Matte Finish Ink		535 (4.5)
	Metallic Ink and Matte Finish Ink on Flexible Package Printing	383 (3.2)	
		l	
	Facilities with the potential to emit VOC in any calendar year shall greater than 300 grams VOC per I VOC content limits for solvent c Type of Solvent Cleaning Op	not use spe iter. leaning	l emissions of at least 10 to
	VOC in any calendar year shall greater than 300 grams VOC per I VOC content limits for solvent c	not use spe iter. leaning eration ring Process;	l emissions of at least 10 to cialty inks with VOC conte Limit Grams of VOC/Liter of Material
	VOC in any calendar year shall greater than 300 grams VOC per I VOC content limits for solvent c Type of Solvent Cleaning Op Product Cleaning During Manufactur or Surface Preparation for Coating, I	not use spe iter. leaning eration ring Process;	l emissions of at least 10 to cialty inks with VOC conte Limit Grams of VOC/Liter of Material (Ib/ga!)

BACT Determination Printing Press/Box Finishing Non-Heatset Flexographic December 22, 2017 Page 11 of 18

Type of Solvent Cleaning Operation	Limit Grams of VOC/Liter of Material (Ib/gal)
Cleaning of Ink Application Equipment	
General and Flexographic Printing 25 (0.21)	
Ultraviolet Ink/Electron Beam Ink Application Equipment (except screen printing)	100 (0.83)

	SUMMARY OF ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES
voc	1. Use of ink with a VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) of 0.3 lb/gal for low-end graphics, use of ink with a VOC content not exceeding 1.1 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds) for high-end graphics and use of adhesives with a VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) not exceeding 0.044 lb/gal. – [SJVAPCD BACT Guidelines 4.7.4, 4.7.15 and 4.9.12, respectively]
	 Use of materials compliant with SCAQMD Rule 1130 and 1171, SMAQMD Rule 450, BAAQMD Regulation 8 Rule 20, SJVUAPCD Rule 4607 or SMAQMD Rule 450. – [SCAQMD, SMAQMD, BAAQMD, SJVUAPCD]
	3. Use of materials compliant with SDCAPCD Rule 67.16. – [SDCAPCD]
NOx	No standard – [SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVUAPCD]
SOx	No standard – [SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVUAPCD]
PM10	No standard – [SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVUAPCD]
PM2.5	No standard – [SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVUAPCD]
со	No standard [SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD, SJVUAPCD]

Emission limits for inks, coatings, adhesives and solvent cleaning are consistent across SCAQMD Rule 1130 and 1171, SMAQMD Rule 450, BAAQMD Regulation 8 Rule 20 and SJVUAPCD Rule 4607. The difference is the SJVAPCD Rule 4607 includes a category for flexographic specialty inks, with VOC content limits that are higher than for other flexographic inks, while the SMAQMD Rule 450 does not. Therefore, SMAQMD Rule 450 is more stringent for these inks. Also, the SCAQMD, BAAQMD and SJVAPCD rules separate the ink into porous (225 g/l) and non-porous (300 g/l) substrates, which the SMAQMD does not. For this application, the SCAQMD, BAAQMD and SJVAPCD rules would be more stringent for porous substrates. But this will not affect the selection of BACT for the ink, because the BACT limit will be set by the SJVAPCD BACT Guidelines 4.7.4 and 4.7.15.

BACT Determination Printing Press/Box Finishing Non-Heatset Flexographic December 22, 2017 Page 12 of 18

The following control technologies have been identified as the most stringent, achieved in practice control technologies:

BEST CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES ACHIEVED		
Pollutant	Standard	Source
VOC	Use of materials compliant with SMAQMD Rule 450 – Graphic Arts, use of ink with a VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) of 0.3 lb/gal for low-end graphics, use of ink with a VOC content not exceeding 1.1 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds) for high-end graphics, use of adhesives with a VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) not exceeding 0.044 lb/gal, and no VOC clean-up solvents	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, BAAQMD
NOx	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD
SOx	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD
PM10	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD
PM2.5	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD
со	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD

B: TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE AND COST EFFECTIVE (Rule 202, §205.1.b.)

Technologically Feasible Alternatives:

Any alternative basic equipment, fuel, process, emission control device or technique, singly or in combination, determined to be technologically feasible by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

The table below shows the technologically feasible alternatives identified as capable of reducing emissions beyond the levels determined to be "Achieved in Practice" as per Rule 202, §205.1.a.

Pollutant	Technologically Feasible Alternatives	
VOC	 Thermal oxidizer Carbon adsorber 	
NOx	None	
SOx	None	
PM10	None	
PM2.5	None	
СО	None	

VOCs: As shown above, thermal oxidation and carbon adsorption are technologically feasible. According to the BAAQMD BACT Guideline 83.1, an overall system efficiency (capture and control efficiencies combined) of 98.5% for VOCs is technologically feasible for these types of operations.

Cost Effectiveness Determination:

After identifying the technologically feasible control options, a cost analysis is performed to take into consideration economic impacts for all technologically feasible controls identified.

Maximum Cost per Ton of Air Pollutants Controlled

1. A control technology is considered to be cost-effective if the cost of controlling one ton of that air pollutant is less than the limits specified below (except coating operations):

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Maximum Cost (\$/ton)</u>
VOC	17,500
NO _X	24,500
PM10	11,400
SOx	18,300
CO	TBD if BACT triggered
NO _X PM10 SO _X	24,500 11,400 18,300

Cost Effectiveness Analysis Summary

The cost analysis was processed in accordance with the EPA OAQPS Air Pollution Control Cost Manual (Sixth Edition). The sales tax rate was based on the District's standard rate of 8.5% as approved on 10/17/16. The electricity (11.24 cents/kWh) and natural gas (6.41 dollars/1,000 cubic feet) rates were based on an industrial application as approved by the District on 10/17/16. The life of the equipment was based on the EPA cost manual recommendation. The interest rate was based on the previous 6-month average interest rate on United States Treasury Securities and addition of

BACT Determination Printing Press/Box Finishing Non-Heatset Flexographic December 22, 2017 Page 14 of 18

two percentage points and rounding up the next higher integer rate. The labor (Occupation Code 51-5112: Printing press operators) and maintenance (Occupation Code 49-9099: Installation, maintenance, and repair workers, all others) rates were based on data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Background:

The flexographic printing operation will be reviewed by using the pressroom as the emission source and updating the cost inputs in accordance with the EPA OAQPS Air Pollution Control Cost Manual (Sixth Edition). This BACT analysis will only look at the price of the control systems. This will ensure that a single flexographic printer enclosed in a small enough room does not require the addition of a hood or a permanent total enclosure to be covered under this BACT. The addition of a hood would increase the cost of the system due to the cost of the hood and associated equipment (ducting, louvers, dampers, air make-up units, etc.). Section 2, Chapter 1: Hoods, Ductwork and Stacks of the Cost Manual includes cost estimating methods that would increase the cost of the system. The addition of the hood would also require an increase in flowrate. A 6 ft x 6 ft (assumed to just cover the printing section of the printer) and 5 feet above the printing mechanism, would require a flowrate of 33,600 ft³/min (based on equation 1.24 (Q = 1.4Pxu_c) of this section). This would require a bigger and more expensive emissions control system. Operational costs of the system would also be higher.

Section 2, Chapter 3: Permanent Total Enclosures (PTE) of the Cost Manual includes cost estimating information for enclosing a unit. This section of the Cost Manual includes cost information (cost of walls/ft², installation costs of walls, rollup door costs, makeup air fans, etc.) that would increase the cost of the control system. The PTE would also require additional ducting work to handle the higher air flow to the control device, which would further increase the cost. Therefore, the cost of just the control device is a conservative (low) estimate.

Basic assumptions:

- 1) Single flexographic printing press.
- 2) Press room dimensions: 40'W x 60'L x 20'H (because the press room is relatively small, a hood or smaller full enclosure is not necessary)
- 3) The press room is assumed to be the enclosure with a collection efficiency of 100%, venting through a general ventilation system to a control device capable of achieving a 98.5% control efficiency. Therefore, the carbon adsorption system or thermal oxidizer will have an over-all collection/control efficiency of 98.5%. This is the same as the collection/control efficiency listed as technologically feasible in the BAAQMD BACT Guideline 83.1.
- 4) General ventilation with an 8,000 CFM blower (10 air changes per hour).
- 5) Cost calculations and assumptions are based on the EPA Air Pollution Control Cost Manual.

Carbon Adsorption System

Equipment Life = 10 years

Total Capital Investment = \$231,299.51

Annualized Total Capital Investment = \$43,556.61 per year

Direct Annual Cost = \$18,801.19 per year

BACT Determination Printing Press/Box Finishing Non-Heatset Flexographic December 22, 2017 Page 15 of 18

Indirect Annual Cost = \$12,482.97 per year

Total Annual Cost = \$74,840.77 per year

VOC Removed = 4.28 tons per year

Cost of VOC Removal = \$17,500.97 per ton reduced

A detailed calculation of the cost effectiveness for VOC removal with a carbon absorber is shown in Attachment B. Uncontrolled VOC emissions of 8,683 lb/year or greater is the cost-effectiveness threshold for control equipment using carbon absorption control technology.

Thermal Oxidizer:

Equipment Life = 10 years

Total Capital Investment = \$401,329

Direct Annual Cost = \$152,437.41 per year

Indirect Annual Cost = \$94,909.62 per year

Total Annual Cost = \$247,347.02 per year

VOC Removed = 14.13 tons per year

Cost of VOC Removal = \$17,500.43 per ton reduced

A detailed calculation of the cost effectiveness for VOC removal with a thermal oxidizer is shown in Attachment C. Uncontrolled VOC emissions of 28,698 lb/year or greater is the cost-effective threshold for control equipment using thermal oxidation control technology.

<u>Conclusion</u>: In this analysis, different emission operating levels are presented with the corresponding total cost per ton of VOC controlled using either a carbon adsorption control or a thermal oxidizer. Uncontrolled VOC emission level of 8,683 lb per year or greater must be reached in order for the carbon absorption control option to be cost effective. Uncontrolled VOC emission level of 28,698 lb per year or greater must be reached in order for a thermal oxidizer to be cost effective. The emissions level for the cost effectiveness of controls is based on the District cost effective limit for VOC of \$17,500 per ton controlled.

<u>C: SELECTION OF BACT</u>

BACT FOR FLEXOGRAPHIC PRINTING PRESS/BOX FINISHING THAT ARE NON-HEATSET (#149) EMITTING < 8,683 LBS UNCONTROLLED VOC PER YEAR		
Pollutant	Standard	Source
VOC	Use of materials (as defined in SMAQMD Rule 450 – Graphic Arts) compliant with SMAQMD Rule 450, use of inks with a VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) of 0.3 lb/gal for low-end graphics, use of ink with a VOC content not exceeding 1.1 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds) for high- end graphics, use of adhesives with a VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) not exceeding 0.044 lb/gal, and no VOC clean- up solvents.	SJVAPCD BACT Guidelines 4.7.4, 4.7.15 and 4.9.12, respectively BAAQMD BACT Guideline 83.1
NOx	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD
SOx	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD
PM10	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD
PM2,5	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD
со	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD

BACT FOR FLEXOGRAPHIC PRINTING PRESS/BOX FINISHING THAT ARE NON-HEATSET (#176) EMITTING ≥ 8,683 LBS UNCONTROLLED VOC PER YEAR		
Pollutant	Standard	Source
VOC	Use of materials (as defined in SMAQMD Rule 450 – Graphic Arts) compliant with SMAQMD Rule 450 – Graphic Arts, use of inks with a VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) of 0.3 lb/gal for low- end graphics, use of VOC content not exceeding 1.1 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds) for high-end graphics, use of adhesives with a VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) not exceeding 0.044 lb/gal, no VOC clean-up solvents and a VOC control device that has an overall system efficiency (collection and destruction) of at least 98.5% for VOC.	SJVAPCD BACT Guidelines 4.7.4, 4.7.15 and 4.9.12, respectively BAAQMD BACT Guideline 83.1
NOx	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD
SOx	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD
PM10	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD
PM2.5	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD
со	No standard	SMAQMD, SCAQMD, SJVUAPCD, SDCAPCD, BAAQMD

D: SELECTION OF T-BACT

Toxics are in the form of VOCs and may also be exempt compounds. T-BACT for flexographic printing presses/box finishing operations was determined to be the following:

T-BACT FOR FLEXOGRAPHIC PRINTING PRESSES/BOX FINISHING THAT ARE NON-HEATSET (#149) EMITTING < 8,683 LBS UNCONTROLLED VOC PER YEAR		
Pollutant	Standard	Source
Organic HAP/VHAP (T-BACT)	1. Compliance with the flexographic printing presses/box finishing BACT VOC limits and HAP emission limits of Section 63.825(b) of 40 CFR 63 Subpart KK.	NESHAP 40 CFR 63 Subpart KK

T-BACT FOR FLEXOGRAPHIC PRINTING PRESSES/BOX FINISHING THAT ARE NON-HEATSET (#176) EMITTING ≥ 8,683 LBS UNCONTROLLED VOC PER YEAR		
Pollutant	Standard	Source
Organic HAP/VHAP (T-BACT)	1. Compliance with the flexographic printing presses/box finishing BACT VOC limits and HAP emission limits of Section 63.825(b) of 40 CFR 63 Subpart KK and a VOC control device that has an overall system efficiency (collection and destruction) of at least 98.5% for VOC.	NESHAP 40 CFR 63 Subpart KK BAAQMD BACT Guideline 83.1

REVIEWED BY:

DATE: 12-22-17

APPROVED BY:

te lun

DATE: 12/22/17

Attachment A Review of BACT Determinations



California Environmental Protection Agency

BACT Determination Detail

Category

Source Category:	Graphic Arts Printing and Coating Operation: Flexographic Printing Line
SIC Code	2653
NAICS Code	322211

Emission Unit Information

Manufacturer:

Ward

Туре:

2-color, sheet-fed, air dry

Model:

150000

Equipment Description:

Capacity / Dimentions

66 " sheet width

Fuel Type

Other

Multiple Fuel Types

https://www.arb.ca.gov/bact/bactnew/determination.php?var=593

7/20/2017

Operating Schedule (hours/day)/(days/week)/ (weeks/year)e Variable (24/6/52)

Function of Equipment

Prints on porous media (facility produces corrugated boxes)

VOC Limit

136

lbm/day

VOC Limit Units

VOC Average Time

VOC Control Method

VOC Control Method Desc

Clean up sln contains no VOC

VOC Percent Control Efficiency

VOC Cost Effectiveness (%/ton)

VOC Incremental Cost Effectiveness (%/ton)

VOC Cost Verified (Y/N)

VOC Dollar Year

Project / Permit Information

Application/Permit No.:

377979

Application Completeness Date:

Modification

https://www.arb.ca.gov/bact/bactnew/determination.php?var=593

7/20/2017

BACT Determination Detail

New

Construction/Modification:

ATC Date:	01-03-2001
PTO Date:	01-03-2001
Startup Date:	
Technology Status:	BACT Determination
Source Test Available:	No
Source Test Results:	

Facility / District Information

Facility Name:International Paper Co.Facility Zip Code:Facility County:District Name:South Coast AQMDDistrict Contact:Martin KayContact Phone No.:(909) 396-3115Contact E-Mail:mkay@aqmd.gov

Notes

https://www.arb.ca.gov/bact/bactnew/determination.php?var=593

7/20/2017

Page 4 of 4

Wate -based inks generally do not require organic solvent for cleanup. This is an example of a flexographic printing facility using waterbased inks. Zero VOC cleanup solvent may not be suitable for specialty flexographic printing (on polyehylene or polypropylene food packaging, fertilizer bags or liquid-tight food containers.) Water based inks with VOC content not to exceed 1.5 lbm/gal

Report Error In Determination

https://www.arb.ca.gov/bact/bactnew/determination.php?var=593

11/2/2017

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guidelines for Non-Major Polluting Facilities* SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

10-20-2000 Rev. 0 12-5-2003 Rev. 1 7-14-2006 Rev 2

Equipment or Process: Printing (Graphic Arts)

VOCNOxSOxCO PM_{10} ≤ 1.5 Lbs VOC/Gal, Less Water and mpt Compounds (1990)mpt Compounds (1990)PM_{10}PM_{10} ce with SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171 $3)$ ce with SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171 ce with SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171 ce with SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171 $3)$ ce with SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171 ce with SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171 ce with SCAQMD $bove$ ce with SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171		Criteria	Criteria Pollutants	2			
nd 11171 11171 Oven Venting to an 1171 Afterburner (≥ 0.3 Sec. ≥ 100 Afterburner (≥ 0.3 Sec. r UV- Retention Time at ≥ 1171 Efficiency) 1171 1171			NOX	SOX	8	PM10	Inorganic
	Inks with ≤ 1	.5 Lbs VOC/Gal, Less Water and					2 2
	Less Exempt	t Compounds (1990)					
	Compliance	with SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171	<i></i>				
0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(12-5-2003)						
oc 1171 1171 1171	Compliance (12-5-2003)	with SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171					
	Low VOC F	ountain Solution (≤8% by Vol.				Oven Venting to an	
	VOC); Low	Vapor Pressure (≤ 10 mm Hg VOC				Afterburner (≥ 0.3 Sec.	
	Composite Pa	urtial Pressure ¹) or Low VOC (≤ 100				Retention Time at ≥	
	g/l) Blanket a	nd Roller Washes; Oil-Based or UV-				1400 ⁹ F; 95% Overall	
	Curable Inks	and Compliance with SCAQMD				Efficiency)	
vith SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171 vith SCAQMD Rules 1130.1 and	Rules 1130 a	nd 1171 (7-14-2006)				(10-20-2000)	
vith SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171 vith SCAQMD Rules 1130.1 and	Same As Above	уче					
vith SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171 vith SCAQMD Rules 1130.1 and vith SCAQMD Rules 1130.1 and							
with SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171 with SCAQMD Rules 1130.1 and with SCAQMD Rules 1130.1 and							
vith SCAQMD Rules 1130.1 and	Compliance	with SCAQMD Rules 1130 and 1171					
with SCAQMD Rules 1130.1 and	(10-20-2000)						
with SCAQMD Rules 1130.1 and							
with SCAQMD Rules 1130.1 and							
	Compliance	with SCAQMD Rules 1130.1 and					
	1171						
-	(12-5-2003)						•

Printing (Graphic Arts)

100

* Means those facilities that are not major polluting facilities as defined by Rule 1302 - Definitions

(Continued on Next Page)

BACT Guidelines - Part D

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 4.7.4* Last Update: 09/22/2006

Flexographic Printing - Corrugated Boxes, High End Graphics

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or contained in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
VOC	Use of inks with a VOC content not exceeding 1.1 lb/gal (less water & exempt	1) capture of VOCs and thermal or catalytic oxidation.	
	compounds) for high-end graphics and use of inks with a VOC content not	 capture of VOCs and carbon absorption 	
	exceeding 2.5 lb/gal (less water & exempt compounds) for metallic inks	 capture of VOCs and regenerative thermal oxidizer 	
		 use of inks with VOC content not exceeding 0.88 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds) for high-end graphics printing 	

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in s a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

*This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 4.7.15* Last Update: 09/22/2006

Flexographic Printing - Corrugated Boxes, Low-end Graphics

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or contained in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
VOC	use of coating with a VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) as	1) capture of VOCs and thermal or catalytic oxidation	
	indicated, or lower: 0.3 lb/gal and evaporative minimization methods, which	 capture of VOCs and carbon absorption 	
	include keeping all solvents and solvent-laden cloths/papers, not in active use, in closed containers.	 capture of VOCs and regenerative thermal oxidizer 	

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in s a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

*This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 4.9.12* Last Update: 09/22/2006

Corrugated Box Gluer

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or contained in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
voc	use of adhesives with a VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) not	 capture of VOCs and thermal or catalytic oxidation 	
	exceeding 0.044 lb/gal	 capture of VOCs and carbon absorption 	
·	x	 capture of VOCs and regenerative thermal oxidizer 	
		 use of adhesives with a VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) not exceeding 0.021 lb/gal 	

Replaces BACT 4.7.3

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in s a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

*This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline

Source Category

Source:	Flexographic Printing,Line	Revision: Document #:	2 83.1
Class:	All	Date:	06/20/95

Determination

POLLUTANT	BACT 1. Technologically Feasible/ Cost Effective 2. Achieved in Practice	TYPICAL TECHNOLOGY
	1. Water reducible inks.w/<1 lb VOC/gal of coating and no VOC clean-up solvents. If cost- effective, capture and vent VOC to afterburner or earbon adsorption sytem w/ \geq 98.5%	1. Low VOC Coalings and no VOC clean-up solvents; or BAAQMD approved Collection System and Abatement Device ^{9, b, T}
POC	destruction/recovery device efficiency, or VOC outlet ≤ 10 ppm $v^{a,b/T}$ 2. Water reducible inks w/ eithers < 1.5 lb VOC/gal coating or $< 10%by volume VOC, and no VOCclean-up solvnessa,T$	2. Low VOC Coatings and no VOC clean-up solvents ^(UT)
NOx	1. n/a 2. n/a	1 <i>n/a</i> 2 <i>n/a</i>
SO ₂	1. n/a 2. n/a	1. <i>n/a</i> 2. <i>n/a</i>
CO	1. n/a 2. n/a	1, n/a 2, n/a
PM ₁₀	1. n/a 2. n/a	1. n/a 2. n/a
NPOC	 Same as for POC above^{ob.T} Same as for POC above^{ob.T} 	 Low or no NPOC Coatings and Solvents: or BAAQMD Approved Abatement/System^{awr} Low NPOC Coatings and Solvents^{ar}

References

a. BAAQMD b. For abatement devices, the following are acceptable: ≤ 10 ppmv at outlets or $\geq 98.5\%$ destruction/recovery efficiency if inlet VOC ≥ 2000 ppmv: or $\geq 97\%$ efficiency if inlet VOC ≥ 200 to < 2000 ppmv: or $\geq 90\%$ efficiency if inlet VOC < 200 ppmv. T. TBACT

.

<u>GRAPHIC ARTS OPERATIONS (<5 tons/year) Fee Schedule 27 N</u></u>

The BACT Control Options which have been determined to be technologically feasible (T/F - demonstrated but not necessarily proven in field application) or have achieved the BACT emission rate limits in practice (A/P - demonstrated in use for the specific equipment category) are listed below. The BACT Control Options are listed in descending order of control stringency. If the top-listed T/F control option is proposed, no further analysis is required. If the first T/F control option is not chosen, then the applicant must review and determine the cost-effectiveness of each T/F control option in the order listed. The first control option is considered cost-effective must be installed to meet the BACT requirement. A control option is considered cost-effective if the annualized cost of implementing that control option is equal to or less than the reference cost-effectiveness value for the same pollutant shown in Table 2-4. If none of the T/F control options are determined to be cost-effective, the applicant must propose the A/P control option, propose an alternative technology that meets the BACT emission rate limit or perform a full Top-down BACT Analysis as described in Section 4. The applicant is responsible for ensuring that the installed equipment meets the specified BACT Emission Rate Limit. (See Section 2 for further guidance.)

1000-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00 -00-00	VOC	NOx	SOx	PM
BACT Control Option	 Use of low VOC fountain solution (< 5% VOC by volume), Capture & recycle blanket and roller tray wash, Use of cleanup solvent which has either less than 100 grams VOC per liter or vapor pressure of less than 5 mm HG at 20°C, Use of metering roll cleanup solvent which has either less than 100 grams VOC per liter or vapor pressure of less than 5 mm HG at 20°C, and Use of inks which have a VOC content of less than 225 grams per liter (1.9 lb/gal). 	(N/A)	(N/A)	(N/A)
	(T/F)			
	BACT emission rate limit not determined.			
BACT Control Option	 Use of low VOC fountain solution (< 6% VOC by volume), Capture & recycle blanket and roller tray wash, Use of cleanup solvent which has either less than 200 grams VOC per liter or vapor pressure of less than 5 mm HG at 20°C, and Use of metering roll cleanup solvent which has either less than 100 grams VOC per liter or vapor pressure of less than 10 mm HG at 20°C, and Use of inks which have a VOC content of less than 300 grams per liter (2.5 lb/gal). 	(N/A)	(N/A)	(N/A)
	(A/P) BACT emission rate limit not determined.			

The applicant may choose to limit the Potential to Emit (PTE) from the equipment to less than 10 pounds per day for each pollutant in lieu of meeting the stated BACT requirement.

Attachment B

Cost Effectiveness Analysis for Carbon Adsorption

COST EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS FOR CARBON ADSORPTION

This cost effectiveness analysis was performed using EPA's OAQPS Control Cost Manual EPA Publication No. 452/B-02-001 Carbon Adsorbers (9/1999)

FACILITY LOCATIO PERMIT N EQUIPME	N: 4225 Pell Drive, Sacramento, CA 95838	Printing Press	
VOC Para	meters		
	VOC of concern (using the physical properties of tole	uene)	Various
	Cost of pure VOC (\$/ton)		. 100
	Molecular weight of VOC (Refer to Control Cost Mar	1ual, pg 3-63)	92.13
	Emission rate (ibs/hr - inlet)		4.17
	Emission rate (lbs/yr - inlet)		8683
	Inlet concentration (ppm)		38
	k factor (Refer to Control Cost Manual, Table 1.1 pg	•	0,551
	m factor (Refer to Control Cost Manual, Table 1.1 pg	3 1-9)	0.11
	Partial pressure (psi)		0.000552279
Gas Para	meters		
	Total gas flow rate (acfm - inlet)		8,000
	14.7		
Equipmer	nt Parameters		
	Removal efficiency (%)		98.5%
	Adsorption time (hours)		8
	Desorption time (hours)		8
	Number of adsorbing beds		· 1
	Number of Desorbing beds		1
	Equipment life (years)		10
Operating	Parameters		
	Hours per day	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8
	Days per week		5
	Weeks per year		52
Carbon R	equirements		
041.001111		(k factor)*((partial pressure)^(m	
	Carbon working capacity (Ib VOC/Ib carbon)	factor))/2	0.121
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Emission Rate)*(hrs/day)/(Carbon	
	Amount of carbon needed (lbs)	Working Capacity)	553
	Carbon and	(\$1/lb carbon)*(lbs of carbon	
	Carbon cost Carbon life (years)	needed)	\$1,107 5
Adsorber	Vessel Dimension and Cost		
	Superficial bed velocity (ft/min)		75
	Diameter of each vessel (ft)		0.33
	Length of each vessel (ft)		324

	`		
	Surface area (sq. ft)		335
	Fm factor (see Control Cost Manual, Table 1.2,	p. 1-21 - Stainless Steel)	1.3
	Cost per vessel	,	\$32,471.47
	Adsorber Equipment Cost		\$116,327.36
Direct Co	asts:		
5,100,00	Purchased Equipment Cost		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	To be conservative assume auxiliary	
	Adsorber and auxiliary equipment	costs = \$0	\$116,327.36
	Instrumentation	1% of equipment cost	\$11,632.74
	Sales taxes	8.5% of equipment cost	\$9,887.83
	Freight	5% of equipment cost	\$5,816.37
	Total Purchased Equipment Cost		\$143,664.29
	Direct installation costs		
	Foundations & supports	8% of total equipment cost	611 407 14
	Handling & erection	14% of total equipment cost	\$11,493.14
	Electrical	4% of total equipment cost	\$20,113.00
	Piping	2% of total equipment cost	\$5,746.57 \$2,873.29
	Insulation	1% of total equipment cost	
	Painting	1% of total equipment cost	\$1,436.64 \$1,436.64
	Direct installation costs	are of total additionent cost	\$43,099.29
			740,000.29
		Total equipment cost + Direct	
	Total Direct Cost	installation costs	\$186,763.58
Indirect (Costs:		
	Indirect Costs (installation)		
,	Engineering	10% of total equpment cost	\$14,366.43
	Construction and field expenses	5% of total equipment cost	\$7,183.21
	Contractor fees	10% of total equpment cost	\$14,366.43
	Start-up	2% of total equipment cost	\$2,873.29
	Performance test	1% of total equipment cost	\$1,436.64
	Contingencies	3% of total equipment cost	\$4,309.93
	Total Indirect Costs		\$44,535.93
		total direct cost + total indirect	
	Total Capital Investment	costs	\$231,299.51
	Interest Rate		
	Equipment Life (years)		0.05
	Capital Recovery Factor (CRF)		10
		•	0,1295
	Capital recovery cost	(total capital investment)*(CRF)	\$29,954.34
		(capital recovery	
	Capital Recovery Inflation adjustment	cost)*[(1+0.0199)^19]	\$43,556.61
Direct An	(Avg. Interest rate is from the Bureau of Labor S nual Costs	statistics website)	
Direct An	Operator wage (\$/hr)		·* -
	Maintenance wage (\$/hr)		18.01
	operator hour (hrs/shift)		20.13
	operator nour (mayatht)		0.5

shifts per	day (shift	/day)	•
days of w	ork per ye	ear (days,	/year)

Operator labor

	Operator Supervisor	(labor wage)*(hours/shift)*(shifts/day)*(d ays/year) 15% of operator labor	\$4,682.60 \$702.39
	Maintenance		
	Maintenance labor Materials	(labor wage)*(hours/shift)*(shifts/day)*(d ays/year) 100% of maintenance labor	\$5,233,80 \$5,233,80
	1.1411541		
	Utilities System Fan (kWh/yr) Bed drying/cooling fan (kWh/yr) Cooling water pump (kWh/yr) Total Power Used (kWh/yr) Electricity Cost Steam Cost Cooling water Carbon Replacement Interest Rate Carbon Life (yrs) Capital Recovery Factor	Refer to EPA cost manual Refer to EPA cost manual Refer to EPA cost manual 0.138 \$/kWh = District Practice	4917 42 52 5011 \$691.52 \$1,770.32 \$202.41 0.05 5 0.2310
	Replacement Labor	CRF*\$0.05/lb*carbon needed	\$6.39
	Carbon Cost	CRF*initial carbon cost*1.0875	\$277.97
	Total Direct Annual Costs	· · · ·	\$18,801.19
Indirect A	nnual Costs		
	Overhead Administrative Charges Property Tax Insurance Total Indirect Annual Costs	60% of maintenance labor and materials 2% of Total Capital Investment 1% of Total Capital Investment 1% of Total Capital Investment	\$3,230.99 \$4,625.99 \$2,313.00 \$2,313.00 \$12,482.97
Total Annu Tons VOC Cost of VC		CRC and Inflation Total Capital Investment + Total Direct Annual Costs + Total Indirect Annual Costs (Emission Rate)*(reduction efficiency)*(hrs/yr)/2000 (Total Annual Costs)/(Tons VOC Controlled)	\$74,840.77 4.28 \$17,500.97

2 260

Attachment C

Cost Effectiveness Analysis for Thermal Oxidizers

COST EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS FOR THERMAL INCINERATION This cost effectiveness analysis was performed using EPA's OAQPS Control Cost Manual EPA publication No. 452/B-02-001 Incinerators (9/2000)

FACILITY NAME:Package OneLOCATION:4225 Pell Drive, Sacramento, CA 95838PERMIT NO.:24803EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:Flexographic Printing Press	
VOC Parameters VOC of concern (Using the physical properties of toluene) Molecular weight of VOC Heat of combustion (Btu/lb) Heating value of VOC (Btu/scf) Emission rate (lbs/hr - Inlet) Emission rate (lbs/yr - Inlet) Inlet concentration (ppm)	Various 92.13 17,601 4,074 13.8 28698.0 120
Gas Parameters Total gas flow rate (scfm - inlet) Total gas pressure (psi - inlet) Inlet gas temperature (deg F)	8000 14.7 71
Equipment Parameters Level of energy recovery (0%, 35%, 50% or 70%) Control efficiency (%) Equipment life (years)	70% 98.5% 10
Operating Parameters Hours per day Days per week Weeks per year Shifts per day	8 5 52 1
Incinerator Parameters Volumetric heat of combustion of effluent (Btu/scf) Heat of combustion per pound of effluent (Btu/lb) Temperature Required for incineration (deg F) Gas temperature at exit of pre-heater (deg F) Effluent gas temperature (deg F)	0.49 6.62 1,500.00 1,071.30 499.7
Electricity Usage Price of electricity (\$/kWh) System fan (kWh/yr) Total Power Used (kWh/yr)	\$0.11 61,651.20 61,651.20
Gas Usage Price of gas (\$/1000 cu.ft.)	\$6.41

Page 1

Auxiliary fuel required (scfm)	171.98	
CAPITAL COST		
Direct Costs:		
Incinerator	\$201,840	
Auxiliary equipment (if not included above)	\$0	
Equipment Cost (A)	\$201,840	
Instrumentation (0.1A if not included above)	\$20,184	
Sales taxes (0.085A)	\$17,156	
Freight (0.05A)	\$10,092	
Total Equipment Cost (B)	\$249,273	
Direct Installation Costs:		
Foundation & Supports (0.08B)	\$19,942	
Handling & erection (0.14B)	\$34,898	
Electrical (0.04B)	\$9,971	
Piping (0.02B)	\$4,985	
Insulation for duct work (0.01B)	\$2,493	
Painting (0.01B)	\$2,493	
Direct Installation Cost	\$74,782	
Site preparation	\$0	
Facilities & buildings	\$0	
Total Direct Costs	\$324,054	
Indirect Costs (installation)		
Engineering (0.10B)	\$24,927	
Construction & field expenses (0.05B)	\$12,464	
Contractor fees (0.10B)	\$24,927	
Start-up (0.02B)	\$4,985	
Performance test (0.01B)	\$2,493	
Contingencies (0.03B)	\$7,478	
Total Indirect Costs	\$77,275	

TOTAL CAPITAL INVESTMENT	\$401,329					
ANNUAL COST						
Direct Annual Costs						
Operating Cost Operator (@ \$18.01/hr & .5 hr per shift) Supervisor (15% of operator) Operating materials	\$2,341.30 \$351.20 \$0.00					
Maintenance						
Labor (@20.13/hr & .5 hr per shift) Material (same as labor)	\$2,616.90 \$2,616.90					
Utilities Price of electricity (\$/kWh) Price of gas (\$/1000 cu.ft.) Electricity (\$/yr) Natural Gas (\$/yr) Total Direct Costs	\$0.11 \$6.41 \$6,929.59 \$137,581.52 \$152,437.41					
Indirect Annual Costs						
Overhead Administrative charges Property taxes Insurance Interest rate (%) Equipment life (years) CRF Capital recovery Capital Recovery Inflation Adjustment Total Indirect Costs	\$4,755.78 \$8,026.58 \$4,013.29 \$4,013.29 5% 10 0.1295 \$51,973.93 \$74,100.68 \$94,909.62					

P		 	
TAT AL ANNI	AL COST		 A
	ALUUSI		5247 347 02

Annual Cost (\$/yr)	\$247,347.02
Annual Emissions Reductions (tons/yr)	14,13
(annual emissions based on BACT determination	on limit
for add-on controls)	

COST PER TON OF VOCs REDUCED (\$/ton) \$17,500.43

Page 4